

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
GREENVILLE DIVISION**

ANDREW CLINTON CRUSE

PETITIONER

v.

No. 4:19CV163-MPM-JMV

WENDEL BANKS, ET AL.

RESPONDENTS

**ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION**

This matter comes before the court on the petitioner's motion for reconsideration of the court's order [43] denying his motion [41] to expand the record. Interlocutory orders, such as the present one, are subject to the complete power of the court rendering them to afford such relief from them as justice requires. 7 Moore's Federal Practice ¶ 60.20 at 60–170 (2d ed. 1985). In this instance, the plaintiff's arguments are unpersuasive, and the court's previous ruling will stand. As such, the petitioner's request for reconsideration is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED, this, the 20th day of April, 2021.

/s/ MICHAEL P. MILLS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI